

Moreover, present Claim 1 has been amended to better recite the connections between various elements. Also, Claim 1, line 3, now recites " a tuner for receiving a broadcast signal;". This is believed to better recite the structure and clearly reads on the disclosure.

Claims 33-58 have been added. New Claims 33-57 correspond to canceled Claims 6-29. All Claims 33-57 are dependent upon base Claim 1. Some of these claims are not the same as the prior claims. For instance, new Claim 41 does not recite "extracted" since the word extracted has also been deleted from Claim 1. Claim 42 adds the words "prior to being broadcast." Claims 48 and 52 have been rewritten.


New method Claim 58 is directed to subject matter somewhat similar to, but does not recite the same language as, Claim 1. Specifically Claim 58 does not recite "broadcast." Claim 58 does recite "providing a set of menus describing the database." Note that Claim 59, dependent upon Claim 58, does recite "the received information is transmitted by a broadcast signal."

It is respectfully submitted that Claim 58 is allowable for reasons similar to those as pertain to Claim 1.

Therefore it is requested that this case pass to issue with pending Claims 1 and 33-59. If the Examiner contemplates other action, he is requested to telephone the undersigned at (408) 453-9200.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, Washington, D.C. 20231,	
on <u>March 15</u>	19 <u>99</u>
<u>Norman R. Klivans</u>	<u>March 15, 1999</u>
Attorney for Applicant(s)	Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,


Norman R. Klivans
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 33,003